

Eric B. Fastiff (State Bar No. 182260)
efastiff@lchb.com
David T. Rudolph (State Bar No. 233457)
drudolph@lchb.com
Katherine C. Lubin (State Bar No. 259826)
klubin@lchb.com
LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP
275 Battery Street, 29th Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-3339
Telephone: (415) 956-1000
Facsimile: (415) 956-1008

Attorneys for Plaintiff CopyTele, Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

COPYTELE, INC., a Delaware
Corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION, a
Taiwanese corporation; E INK
HOLDINGS, INC., a Taiwanese
corporation; and E INK CORPORATION,
a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:13-cv-00380-EMC

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
ORDER TO CONTINUE CASE
MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE**

Date: December 11, 2014
Time: 10:30 a.m.
Dept: Courtroom 5, 17th Floor
Judge: The Honorable Edward M. Chen

1 Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-1, 6-2, and 7-12, this Stipulation and [Proposed] Order is
2 entered into by and between Plaintiff CopyTele, Inc. (“CopyTele”) and Defendants E Ink
3 Holdings, Inc., a Taiwanese corporation, E Ink Corporation, a Delaware corporation (collectively
4 “E Ink”), and AU Optronics Corporation (“AUO”), a Taiwanese corporation.

5 WHEREAS, CopyTele filed its complaint in this action on January 28, 2013;

6 WHEREAS, on April 29, 2013, AUO filed a motion to compel arbitration and to stay the
7 litigation, and E Ink filed motions to dismiss all claims against it and in the alternative to stay the
8 claims against it pending arbitration between CopyTele and AUO;

9 WHEREAS, on July 9, 2013, in light of CopyTele’s agreement to arbitrate its claims
10 against AUO, this Court stayed the claims against all defendants pending the resolution of the
11 arbitration (Dkt. No. 91);

12 WHEREAS, CopyTele filed its Demand for Arbitration before the American Arbitration
13 Association on September 17, 2013, and AUO filed its Answer in the arbitration on October 28,
14 2013;

15 WHEREAS, on May 8, 2014, the Court continued the Case Management Conference set
16 for May 15, 2014 to December 11, 2014, after the Final Arbitration Hearing was to be concluded
17 (Dkt. No. 103);

18 WHEREAS, the Final Arbitration Hearing was held on November 10-November 21,
19 2014, in San Francisco, California;

20 WHEREAS, at the conclusion of the Final Arbitration Hearing, CopyTele and AUO
21 agreed to a post-hearing briefing schedule, with opening briefs due January 15, 2015 and
22 response briefs due February 5, 2015, and the Tribunal confirmed this schedule in Procedural
23 Order No. 11, issued November 26, 2014;

24 WHEREAS, in light of the status of the post-hearing briefing in the arbitration, the parties
25 respectfully request that the Court continue the Case Management Conference to a date after the
26 post-hearing briefing has been concluded.

27 **IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED** that:

28 1. The Case Management Conference shall be continued from December 11, 2014 to

1 March 5, 2015 at 10:30 a.m.

2 2. Pursuant to Civil General Standing Order No. 6, the Case Management Conference
3 Statement will be due on or before February 26, 2015.

4 Dated: December 4, 2014

LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN
& BERNSTEIN, LLP

5
6
7 By: /s/ Eric B. Fastiff
Eric B. Fastiff

8 Eric B. Fastiff
9 David T. Rudolph
Katherine C. Lubin
10 275 Battery Street, 29th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-3339
11 Telephone: (415) 956-1000
Facsimile: (415) 956-1008
12 efastiff@lchb.com
drudolph@lchb.com
klubin@lchb.com

13 *Attorneys for Plaintiff COPYTELE, INC.*

14 Dated: December 4, 2014

CROWELL & MORING LLP

15
16 By: /s/ Beatrice B. Nguyen
Beatrice B. Nguyen

17
18 Beatrice B. Nguyen
275 Battery Street, Suite 2300
19 San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 986-2800
20 Facsimile: (415) 986.2827
bbnguyen@crowell.com

21 *Attorneys for Defendant E INK CORPORATION*

1 Dated: December 4, 2014

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

2
3 By: /s/ Matthew Rawlinson
Matthew Rawlinson

4 Matthew Rawlinson
140 Scott Drive
5 Menlo Park, CA 94025
Telephone: (650) 328-4600
6 Facsimile: (650) 463-2600
matt.rawlinson@lw.com

7
8 *Attorneys for Defendant AU OPTRONICS*
CORPORATION

9
10
11 **ATTESTATION**

12 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3) regarding signatures, I attest that concurrence in the
13 filing of this document has been obtained from the other signatories.

14 DATED: December 4, 2014

/s/ David T. Rudolph
David T. Rudolph

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. For good cause shown:

1. The Case Management Conference shall be continued from December 11, 2014 to March ~~5~~¹², 2015 at 10:30 a.m.
2. Pursuant to Civil General Standing Order No. 6, the Case Management Conference Statement will be due on or before February ~~26~~, 2015. March 5, 2015

12 / 4

Dated: _____, 2014

